

It would tell him that his arch-enemy, and Mr. Speaker, I consider it a badge of honor that the likes of Fidel Castro considers us his enemy, has capitulated.

And it would tell the rest of the world that we have abdicated our leadership role in the world.

Some in America say, "everybody else is doing it, so why not us"? "An embargo can't be effective if others won't join in."

Well, Mr. Speaker, copycatting the amoral, rudderless foreign policies of other nations is not leadership now, is it?

We should be exhorting, and using financial leverage, to induce other countries to join in. That's what Helms-Burton was all about, and it is a scandal that this President won't enforce the law!

And some say, "The embargo is propping Castro up by giving him an enemy."

What a ridiculous, a historical view that is.

If the embargo helps Castro, then why does he want it lifted?

And how many times do we have to repeat the fact that when Castro first seized power, the U.S. offered him assistance? And yet he still turned on us, because he is and always has been a Communist. Communists consider America the enemy, embargo or no embargo.

And Mr. Speaker, I am tired of those who say this embargo is not working.

What is not working is engagement, the business as usual engagement that the rest of the world is conducting with Castro as we speak.

It is their trade and aid dollars that are propping up Castro.

Just as our trade and aid dollars are propping up the Communist thugs in Beijing, and Hanoi, and now North Korea.

Everywhere we look Mr. Speaker, engagement has failed to mellow Communist dictators.

It has failed to improve human rights, it has failed to create widespread business opportunities and it has failed to rein in their foreign policies.

This is in stark contrast to Ronald Reagan's hard-line, rollback policies that helped bring down the Iron Curtain in Europe.

This is the policy we need now toward Fidel Castro.

Only his removal from power can lead to true improvement in Cuba. Anything less is a charade, and we have lived through these charades before.

It is time for this administration to get serious about removing Fidel Castro from power. It is time to apply the Helms-Burton law with full vigor.

If some of our so-called friends want to prop up this dictator longer, it is time for us to tell them they can kiss the most lucrative consumer market in the world goodbye.

That will surely bring them around, as their foreign policies are so dollar-dependent.

And if not, then so be it.

Let history record America as the country that did the right thing vis-à-vis an awful dictator in the Caribbean to the bitter end.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOLEY). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MILLENDER-McDONALD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. MILLENDER-McDONALD addressed the House. Her remarks will

appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### MEXICO MAJOR SOURCE OF ILLICIT DRUGS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MICA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I come to the House floor this afternoon to announce that chemical warfare has been declared upon the United States of America. Some of my colleagues may be wondering what I mean by this statement that chemical warfare has in fact been inflicted upon the United States, but let me tell them the rest of the story.

In the entire Gulf War with the United States, Iraq took 148 American lives in battle. Let me give Members some statistics from 1992 to 1994 in the loss of life in the chemical war that has been declared upon the United States of America. There have been drug deaths during that period of time of 38,882. If we had the most up-to-date statistics through last year, we are probably looking at 60,000 Americans who have lost their lives because of drugs entering this country.

I ask my colleagues where most of the drugs are coming into this country. What is the source of the chemical warfare that has been declared upon our Nation? I tell them today that it is Mexico. The DEA confirms it. Everyone who has testified before my National Security subcommittee that oversees our policy on the narcotics issue has confirmed it, that Mexico is the source of illegal chemicals, drugs, coming into this country.

Many of those thousands of lives that have been lost in this chemical war are young people. Listen to the quantities of narcotics that are coming in from Mexico, and this administration and this President recently certified Mexico as compliant with attempts to eradicate drugs. Do Members know the source of 50 to 70 percent of the cocaine transiting into the United States, into their community? It is Mexico. Do they know where 30 percent of the heroin entering the United States into their community is coming from? It is coming from Mexico. Do they know where 70 percent of the foreign-grown marijuana which is produced and transited to the United States is coming from? It is Mexico.

The certification law that we have on the books is a simple law. It says our State Department and our President must confirm that a country is cooperating to eliminate drug trafficking and drug production. In fact, Mexico is not doing that. They are being certified to get benefits from the United States. They get benefits for foreign aid, for financial assistance, for military assistance and trade benefits. This is a simple certification process which Mexico has not complied with.

What has been their response? Their response has been to launch a chemical

war on the children and the people of the United States. The loss of life, the loss of our children's futures, the loss of civility and civil conduct in our community has been disrupted.

We have 2 million Americans behind bars. Our people are sleeping at night behind bars. Our elderly are confined to their homes behind bars, because 70 percent of those who are committing crimes are there because of a drug-related offense or drug abuse.

I submit that 50 percent of the hard drugs, and these are not my statistics, this is the DEA, the FBI and other Federal agencies confirm that 50 percent of the hard drugs, these chemical weapons, are coming into the United States from Mexico.

I urge my colleagues, all of my colleagues, to join me with the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW) in cosponsoring House Joint Resolution 114. Let us end this chemical warfare that has been declared upon our Nation and upon our children. I ask my colleagues to join us and cosponsor House Joint Resolution 114 and let us make a difference in the lives of our children and in the lives of our community and stop the drug warfare on this country.

#### CHILD CARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in celebration of Women's History Month and would like to call special attention to the current status of child care in our country.

Today more parents work outside the home than ever before. More than 75 percent of mothers with children ages 6 through 17 are in the work force. More than 60 percent of mothers with children under the age of 6 are employed in addition. Changes in the welfare system set such strict work requirements, which means that parents must find jobs or leave public assistance.

Child care costs can be prohibitive. Consequently it was a reason why many mothers did not work. Currently full-day child care can cost between \$4,000 and \$10,000 per year. The expense of child care becomes even a greater issue of concern once we consider the fact that nearly half of the parents with young children earn \$35,000 a year or less. Even families with two working parents working full-time at minimum wage, the parents earn only about \$21,000 annually, and that is gross income.

The importance of quality child care cannot be ignored. Research shows that good child care programs can affect children's long-term success in school and their learning potential as adults. In addition, brain development research shows that an adverse environment in the first 3 years of life can compromise a child's brain function and overall development. With all of